

- optimization problem
 - minimize $f(x)$
 - s.t. $g_i(x) \leq 0$
 - $h_i(x) = 0$
 - feasible $\rightarrow x \in \text{dom } f_0 \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^m \{g_i(x) \leq 0\}$
 - optimal value $P^* = \inf \{f(x) | f(x) \leq 0, h_i(x) = 0\}$
 - locally optimal $f_0(x) = \inf \{f_0(\tilde{x}) | \tilde{x} \in D, \|x - \tilde{x}\|_2 \leq R\}$
 - $D = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \{h_i(x) = 0\}$
 - feasibility problem
 - find x s.t. $f_0(x) \leq 0$ and $g_i(x) \leq 0$, $h_i(x) = 0$
 - convex optimization
 - minimize $f_0(x)$ — convex
 - s.t. $f_0(x) \leq 0$ convex
 - $Ax = b$ affine
 - quasiconvex optimization
 - minimize $f_0(x)$ vs. quasiconvex
 - s.t. $f_0(x) \leq 0$
 - $Ax = b$
 - local & global optima
 - minimize $f_0(x)$
 - s.t. $f_0(x) \leq 0$
 - $h_i(x) = 0$
 - $\|x - x^*\|_2 \leq R$
 - x^* is locally optimal when $f_0(x^*) = \inf \{f_0(\tilde{x}) | \tilde{x} \text{ feasible}, \|x - \tilde{x}\|_2 \leq R\}$

- feasible problem
 - find x s.t. $f_0(x) \leq 0$ and $g_i(x) \leq 0$, $h_i(x) = 0$
 - convex optimization
 - minimize $f_0(x)$ — convex
 - s.t. $f_0(x) \leq 0$ convex
 - $Ax = b$ affine
 - quasiconvex optimization
 - minimize $f_0(x)$ vs. quasiconvex
 - s.t. $f_0(x) \leq 0$
 - $Ax = b$
 - local & global optima
 - minimize $f_0(x)$
 - s.t. $f_0(x) \leq 0$
 - $h_i(x) = 0$
 - $\|x - x^*\|_2 \leq R$
 - x^* is locally optimal when $f_0(x^*) = \inf \{f_0(\tilde{x}) | \tilde{x} \text{ feasible}, \|x - \tilde{x}\|_2 \leq R\}$

proof:

$$\Delta \text{ if } y \in \{x | f_0(y) \leq f_0(x)\} \text{ as if } \|y - x\|_2 \leq R$$

we let $\theta = \frac{R}{2\|y - x\|_2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$

we let $\beta = (1-\theta)x + \theta y$

convex combination, in convex function

$f_0(\beta) \leq (1-\theta)f_0(x) + \theta f_0(y)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{from here} \\ &\|x - \beta\|_2 = \theta(R + \|x - y\|_2) \leq \frac{1}{2}(R + \|x - y\|_2) \\ &\text{we let } \|x - \beta\|_2 = \frac{1}{2}R \\ &\text{to fulfill all the conditions (we want } \beta \text{ to be within } \|x - \beta\|_2 \leq R \text{ s.t. } x \text{ is good optimal)} \\ &\text{w/ assumption } f_0(\beta) \leq f_0(x) \text{ s.t. } x \text{ is good optimal} \\ &\text{we also have} \\ &\theta f_0(y) + (1-\theta)f_0(x) \leq f_0(x) \\ &\Rightarrow f_0(\beta) = \theta f_0(y) + (1-\theta)f_0(x) \\ &< f_0(x) \quad \text{contradiction!} \\ &f_0(x) = \inf \{f_0(\tilde{x}) | \tilde{x} \in D, \|x - \tilde{x}\|_2 \leq R\} \end{aligned}$$

optimality criterion

$$\nabla f_0(x)^T (\beta - x) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in D$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ x \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x \end{pmatrix}$$

convex problems

Linear Programming

$$\text{minimize } c^T x + d$$

$$\text{s.t. } Ax \leq b$$

$$Ax = b$$



piecewise-linear minimization

$$f_0(x) = \max_{i=1, \dots, m} (a_i^T x + b_i)$$

$$\text{minimize } f_0(x)$$

$$\text{s.t. } a_i^T x + b_i \leq t \quad i=1, \dots, m$$

Quadratic Program

$$\text{minimize } \frac{1}{2} x^T P x + q^T x + r$$

$$\text{s.t. } Gx \leq h$$

$$Ax = b$$

PE S₂

Quadratically Constrained Quadratic Program

$$\text{minimize } \frac{1}{2} x^T P_0 x + q_0^T x + r_0$$

$$\text{s.t. } \frac{1}{2} x^T P_i x + q_i^T x + r_i \leq 0$$

$$Ax = b$$

P_i ∈ S₂

(cover a feasible region that's intersection of ellipsoids + affine sets)

Geometric Programming

monomial function

$$f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ dom } = \mathbb{R}_{+}^n$$

$$f(x) = C x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots x_n^{a_n}$$

$$C > 0, a_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

polynomial function

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m C_k x_1^{a_{k1}} x_2^{a_{k2}} \dots x_n^{a_{kn}}$$

$$C_k > 0, a_{ki} \in \mathbb{R}$$

sum of monomial functions

transform to convex problem

$$u_i = \log x_i, x_i = e^{u_i}$$

$$f(x) = f(e^{u_1}, \dots, e^{u_n})^{a_n}$$

$$= e^{u_1 a_1 + \dots + u_n a_n}$$

$$= e^{u^T a}$$

monomial

original

$$\text{minimize } f_0(x)$$

$$\text{s.t. } f_0(x) \leq 1$$

$$h_i(x) = 1$$

$$\text{convex poly}$$

convex equivalent

$$\text{minimize } \log \left(\frac{f_0(x)}{\|x\|_2} \right)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \log \left(\frac{f_0(x)}{\|x\|_2} \right) \leq 0$$

$$Gx = 0$$

$$h_i(x) = 0$$

convex poly

complementarity gap

strong duality

$$d^* = P^*$$

Slater's condition

$$\exists x \in \text{relint } D$$

$$\text{s.t. } f_0(x) < 0, Ax = b$$

optimality conditions — complementary slackness

assume strong duality holds:

$$d^* = P^*$$

$$g(x^*, v^*) = f_0(x^*)$$

\Rightarrow

$$f_0(x^*) = g(x^*, v^*)$$

$$= \inf_x \left(f_0(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \lambda_i^* f_i(x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \mu_i^* h_i(x) \right)$$

$$\leq f_0(x^*) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \mu_i^* h_i(x^*)$$

$$\leq f_0(x^*)$$

\Rightarrow {if $\lambda_i^* > 0 \Rightarrow f_i(x^*) = 0$

{if $f_i(x^*) < 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_i^* = 0$

complementary slackness!}

$$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) = 0$$

KKT optimality conditions

Let $x^*, (u^*, v^*)$ be

primal dual optimal points

complementarity gap

$$\therefore x^* = \arg \min_x L(x, \lambda^*, \nu^*)$$

$$\therefore f_0(x^*) + \sum_i \lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \nu_i^* h_i(x^*) = 0$$

\therefore

$$f_i(x^*) \leq 0$$

$$h_i(x^*) = 0$$

$$\lambda_i^* \geq 0$$

$$\lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) = 0$$

KKT optimality conditions!

local sensitivity analysis

assume

$$P(u, v)$$

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial v} = 0$$

strong duality holds

$$\therefore P^*(u, v) @ u=0, v=0$$

$$\frac{\partial P^*(0, 0)}{\partial u} = -\lambda^*$$

$$\frac{\partial P^*(0, 0)}{\partial v} = -\nu^*$$

complementary slackness:

$$\lambda_i^* f_i(x) = 0$$

$f_i(x) < 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_i^* = 0$

then: value @ $P^*(0, 0)$ doesn't change w.r.t. u

$$\frac{\partial P^*(0, 0)}{\partial u} = 0$$

$f_i(x) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_i^* > 0$

then: value @ $P^*(0, 0)$ changes w.r.t. u

$$\frac{\partial P^*(0, 0)}{\partial u} \neq 0$$

tells us HOW ACTIVE IT IS

perturbation analysis

$$P^*(u, v) = P^*(0, 0) + \lambda^* u + \nu^* v$$

$$\leq f_0(x) + \lambda^* u + \nu^* v$$

